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## The Kissing Number



The pink circle is touched by 6 non-overlapping blue circles: The Kissing Number in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is 6 .

## A Lattice Packing



The centres of the circles lie on the lattice
$\Lambda=\left\{m e_{1}+n e_{2} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. The plane is covered by triangles congruent to the one indicated.

## The density of a lattice packing



The density of the hexagonal lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is

$$
\frac{\pi / 2}{\frac{1}{2} .2 \sqrt{3}}=\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{3}} \sim .9069
$$

## The Kissing Number in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$



Visibly we can have 12 unit spheres touching a given unit sphere without overlapping one another. So the Kissing number in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is at least 12.

## Isaac Newton 1643-1727 and David Gregory 1659-1708



## Kissing number in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.



The vertices of 3 golden rectangles mutually perpendicular to one another lie at the 12 vertices of a regular icosahedron. cf. Coxeter's Geometry page 162 following Fra Luca Pacioli 1445-1509 De divina proportione.

## Golden Rectangle



At each vertex of the icosahedron place a sphere with centre that vertex and radius $r$ one half the distance of the vertex from $O$, the centre of the icosahedron. These spheres all touch a sphere of radius $r$ centre O but do not touch one another.

## Highest density of a lattice packing in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$



- Remarkably the highest density packing is unknown (unproven!).
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## Highest density of a lattice packing in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$



- Remarkably the highest density packing is unknown (unproven!).
- Densest lattice packing is achieved by the face-centred cubic lattice $A_{3}$ or $D_{3}: \mathbb{Z}[(-1,-1,0),(1,-1,0),(0,1,-1)]$, all integral vectors with even sum [Gauss 1831].
- This has density $\pi / \sqrt{18} \sim .74048$. Rogers: "many mathematicians believe, and all physicists know" that this is best possible. [C-S]


## Calculation of the density $\Delta$

- A generator matrix $M$ and Gramm matrix $A=M M^{t}$ for $D_{3}$ are given by

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \text { and } A=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right) .
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## Calculation of the density $\Delta$

- A generator matrix $M$ and Gramm matrix $A=M M^{t}$ for $D_{3}$ are given by

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \text { and } A=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right) .
$$

- $\Delta=$ proportion of space occupied by spheres $=$
- $\frac{\text { volume of one sphere }}{\text { volume of fundamental region }}=\frac{\text { volume of one sphere }}{(\operatorname{det} A)^{\frac{1}{2}}}=$
- $\frac{4}{3} \pi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{3} \times \frac{1}{2}=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{18}}$
- $\mathrm{V}_{n}(R)=\frac{2 \pi R^{2}}{n} \mathrm{~V}_{n-2}(R)=\frac{\pi^{n / 2}}{(n / 2)!} R^{n}$.


## Higher dimensions: sphere-packing in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

- The distance between 2 points $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is defined to be
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$$
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$$

- How many unit spheres can touch a given unit sphere without overlapping one another? The Kissing number $\tau_{n}$.
- What proportion of n-dimensional space can be covered by unit spheres? The density $\Delta$.
- The general question is too hard, so usually restrict to lattice packings.


## Kissing number in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$

- The kissing number for lattice packings in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is at least 24 :


## Kissing number in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$

- The kissing number for lattice packings in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is at least 24:
- Consider $\Lambda=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mid x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum x_{i} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}\right\}$


## Kissing number in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$

- The kissing number for lattice packings in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is at least 24:
- Consider $\Lambda=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mid x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum x_{i} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}\right\}$
- There are $24=\binom{4}{2} \times 2^{2}$ points in $\Lambda$ at distance $\sqrt{2}$ from O of form $( \pm 1, \pm 1,0,0)$, and any two of these points are at least $\sqrt{2}$ apart.


## Kissing number in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$

- The kissing number for lattice packings in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is at least 24:
- Consider $\Lambda=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mid x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum x_{i} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}\right\}$
- There are $24=\binom{4}{2} \times 2^{2}$ points in $\Lambda$ at distance $\sqrt{2}$ from O of form $( \pm 1, \pm 1,0,0)$, and any two of these points are at least $\sqrt{2}$ apart.
- So 24 spheres of radius $\sqrt{2} / 2$ with centres at these points will all touch a central sphere of the same radius and will not overlap.


## Kissing number in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$

- The kissing number for lattice packings in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is at least 24:
- Consider $\Lambda=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mid x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum x_{i} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}\right\}$
- There are $24=\binom{4}{2} \times 2^{2}$ points in $\Lambda$ at distance $\sqrt{2}$ from O of form $( \pm 1, \pm 1,0,0)$, and any two of these points are at least $\sqrt{2}$ apart.
- So 24 spheres of radius $\sqrt{2} / 2$ with centres at these points will all touch a central sphere of the same radius and will not overlap.
- Oleg Musin (2003) proved that this is best possible, so $\tau_{4}=24$. The problem is equivalent to asking how many points can be placed on $S_{n-1}$ so that the angular separation between any two of them is at least $\pi / 3$.


## Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams



Crystallographic finite reflection groups. A reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to a root $r$, given by

$$
\theta_{r}: x \mapsto x-2 \frac{x . r}{r \cdot r} r
$$

preserves the lattice $\Lambda$.

## The 2-dimensional chrystallographic lattices


$\mathrm{A}_{2}$

$\mathrm{B}_{2}$


## Best known kissing numbers and packings C\&S 1988

Table 1.1. Records for packings, kissing numbers, coverings and quantizers. (Box: optimal. To left of double line: known to be optimal among lattices.) For $n \leqslant 8$ the entry in the first row is $\cong \Lambda_{n}$.

| DIMENSION | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSEST PACKING | 2 | $A_{2}$ | $A_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $E_{6}$ | $E_{7}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{16}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{24}$ |
| HIGHEST KISSING NUMBER | 2 | $6$ | $\|12\|$ |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} E_{8} \\ 240 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{12} \\ & 756 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Lambda_{18} \\ & 4320 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta_{24} \\ 196560 \end{gathered}$ |
| THINNEST COVERING | 2 | $A_{B}$ |  | $A_{4}^{*}$ | $A_{B}^{*}$ | $A_{B}$ | $A_{7}$ | $A_{B}^{*}$ | $A_{12}^{*}$ | $A_{16}^{*}$ | $\Delta_{24}$ |
| BEST QUANTIZER | 2 |  | $A_{3}^{*}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ | DÊ) | $E_{6}^{*}$ | $E_{7}^{*}$ | E8 | $K_{12}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | $\Delta_{24}$ |
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- A generator matrix is a matrix $M$ whose rows form a basis for $\Lambda$.
- A square matrix $A=M M^{t}$ is a Gramm matrix of $\Lambda$, and

$$
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- $\Lambda^{\star}$, the dual of $\Lambda$ consists of all vectors whose inner product with every vector of $\Lambda$ is an integer. An integral lattice is unimodular or self-dual if $|\Lambda|=1$ or equivalently if $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\star}$.
- An integral lattice $\Lambda$ such that $x . x \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in \Lambda$ is said to be even. Even unimodular lattices exist if, and only if, dimension $n=8 k$. One for $n=8$; two for $n=16$; twenty-four for $n=24$, the Niemeier lattices


## The $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ lattice

$$
\left(-1,1,0^{6}\right)\left(0,-1,1,0^{5}\right)\left(0^{2},-1,1,0^{4}\right) \underbrace{\left(0^{5}, 1,-1,0\right)\left(0^{6}, 1,-1\right)}_{\left(0^{3},-1,1,0^{3}\right)\left(0^{4},-1,-1,0^{2}\right)} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right)}_{0}
$$
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(ii) $2^{7}=128$ vectors of shape $\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

$$
\text { Total } 112+128=240 .
$$

- Preserved by the Weyl group $\mathrm{O}_{8}^{+}(2) \cong \mathrm{D}_{4}(2)$ of order $4 \times 174,182,400$; a permutation group on 120 letters.
- 240 spheres of radius $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ centred at these lattice points all touch a sphere centre O of the same radius and do not overlap. $\tau_{8}=240$. [Odlyzko and Sloane 1979, Chapter 13 in CS]
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## The Mathieu group $\mathrm{M}_{24}$ and the binary Golay code

- The binary Golay code $\mathcal{C}$ is a length 24 , dimension 12 code over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ consisting of
(i) A codeword of weight 0 , the zero vector
(ii) 759 codewords of weight 8 , the octads
(iii) 2576 codewords of weight 12, the dodecads
(iv) 759 codewords of weight 16 , the 16 -ads complements of octads
(v) a codeword of weight 24 , the all ones vector.

$$
1+759+2576+759+1=2^{12} .
$$

- The supports of these codewords are known as $\mathcal{C}$-sets.
- The group of permutations of the 24 coordinates preserving $\mathcal{C}$ is the quintuply transitive Mathieu group $\mathrm{M}_{24}$ of order 244, 823, 040. Every subset of 5 points lies in precisely one octad.


## John Leech 1926-92, Skipper of the Waverley
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- Using $\mathrm{M}_{24}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, Leech was able to construct a wonderfully symmetrical even, unimodular 24-dimensional lattice $\Lambda$.
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- $\Lambda$ may be taken as all integral vectors $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{24}\right)$ such that
(i) All $x_{i}$ are even, or all $x_{i}$ are odd;
(ii) The positions on which $x_{i} \equiv m$ modulo 4 is a $\mathcal{C}$-set, for $m=0,1,2,3$;
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With this scaling every lattice vector has norm $\sum x_{i}^{2}=16 n$; such a vector is said to be of type $\Lambda_{n}$.
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## The shortest vectors $\Lambda_{2}$.

- $\Lambda_{2}$ consists of
(i) $\binom{24}{2} \times 2^{2}=1104$ of shape $\left( \pm 4, \pm 4,0^{22}\right)$;
(ii) $759 \times 2^{7}=97152$ of shape $\left(( \pm 2)^{8}, 0^{16}\right)$;
(iii) $24 \times 2^{12}=98304$ of shape $\left( \pm 3,( \pm 1)^{23}\right)$.
- Total:

$$
1104+97152+98304=196560
$$

- So we can place 196560 non-overlapping spheres with radius $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sqrt{16.2}=2 \sqrt{2}$ and centres at these lattice points and they will all touch a sphere of the same radius centred on the origin. It turns out that this is best possible and the kissing number $\tau_{24}=196560$. [Odlyzko and Sloane 1979, Chapter 13 in CS.]


## John McKay 1939 - : " a snapper up of unconsidered trifles "



John Horton Conway 1937 - for whom Mathematics is a Game, and Games are Mathematics.
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\begin{aligned}
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- Wish to go straight from $H$ to $G$, obtaining $\Lambda$ as a by-product.
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- Lemma implies
$\left\langle t_{T}, t_{U}\right\rangle \cap \mathrm{M}_{24} \leq C_{\mathrm{M}_{24}}\left(\operatorname{Stabilizer}\left(\mathrm{M}_{24},[T, U]\right)\right)$.

$t_{T}$

$t_{U}$


Rob Curtis, Birmingham

## The additional relation

- This stabilizer has shape $2^{4}: 2^{3}$.


## The additional relation

- This stabilizer has shape $2^{4}: 2^{3}$.
- Its centre has order 2 and is generated by



## The additional relation

- This stabilizer has shape $2^{4}: 2^{3}$.
- Its centre has order 2 and is generated by

- Shortest word which could represent $\nu$ (without collapse) is

$$
\nu=t_{A B} t_{A C} t_{A D}
$$

## The additional relation

- This stabilizer has shape $2^{4}: 2^{3}$.
- Its centre has order 2 and is generated by

- Shortest word which could represent $\nu$ (without collapse) is

$$
\nu=t_{A B} t_{A C} t_{A D}
$$

- So factor out this relation to obtain

$$
G=\frac{2^{\star\left({ }_{4}^{24}\right)}: \mathrm{M}_{24}}{\nu=t_{A B} t_{A C} t_{A D}} \cong \cdot \mathrm{O}, \text { The Conway group. }
$$
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## Recovering the Leech lattice

- Note that the lowest dimension in which $G$ could have a representation is 24 .
- Show that $\left\langle t_{U} t_{V} \mid U+V \in \mathcal{C}_{8}\right\rangle \cong 2^{12}$, an elementary abelian group isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}$.
- Construct the element $t_{T}$ as a $24 \times 24$ matrix and observe that it has to be precisely

$$
t_{T}=-\xi_{T},
$$

the negative of the Conway element in the original construction of $\cdot \mathrm{O}$.

- Obtain the Leech lattice $\Lambda$ by simply applying the group so constructed to the standard basis vectors.
- Conway: the group • O is simply $\mathrm{M}_{24}$ writ large

